



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,990	09/15/2000	Sekaran Nanja	20706-000120US	3504
20350	7590 07/08/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		DU, THUAN N		
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111-3834	334	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2185	سم
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	. 3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e e	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/662,990 NANJA, SEKARAN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thuan N. Du	2185	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimur ill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	<u>eptember 2000</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I	nce except for form	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims	an panta daayit, it		
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideratio	n.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement	nt.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2000</u> is/ai		h\⊠ objected to by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		•	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been receive	d.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	d in Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:	
C. Potent and Tradeward, Office			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

2. Applicant is required to update the status of all co-pending applications indicated in the

instant application.

## Specification

3. A substitute specification including the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because of missing words caused by punched holes.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

#### **Drawings**

4. The drawings are objected to because the description of the drawing should not be in the figure. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,990

Art Unit: 2185

## **Double Patenting**

5. Claims 1-6 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 2 and 4-7 of copending Application No. 09/663,252. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: both applications claim a method for allocating resources comprising the steps of displaying a list of resources; selecting the resources and configuring the selected resources. The subject application does not recite the processing resources as set forth in the copending application. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that processing resources are one of a plurality of types of resources.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/662,990 Page 4

Art Unit: 2185

7. Claims 1-6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the selected resources" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 8 recites the phrase "the method" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this phrase in the claim.
- 10. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 6 depends on claim 6 (a claim cannot depend on itself). For further examination, the examiner assumes claim 6 depends on claim 4. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Application/Control Number: 09/662,990 Page 5

Art Unit: 2185

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 12. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Young, U.S. Patent No. 6,560,606.
- 13. Regarding claim 1, Young teaches a method for allocating processing resources comprising the steps of:

displaying a list of resources on the display device [col. 10, lines 1-3, 16-19]; accepting signals from the user input device to indicate the configuration of at least a portion of the resources [The operator configures the pipeline by selecting the needed resources (col. 10, lines 15-19). Therefore, inherently, the operator uses input device to input his/her selection]; and

configuring the selected resources [col. 10, line 16].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 2-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young, U.S. Patent No. 6,560,606.
- 16. Regarding claims 2-6, these claims are directed to method steps for creating a computing environment of claim 1. As stated above, Young teaches the invention substantially as set forth

Application/Control Number: 09/662,990

Art Unit: 2185

in claim 1. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that Young may obviously also teach the method steps of claim 1 as set forth in claims 2-6. As such, claims 2-6 are rejected under the same rationale with respect to claim 1.

17. Regarding claims 7-15, Young teaches the claimed method steps. Therefore, Young teaches the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.

#### Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292 or via e-mail, **thuan.du@uspto.gov**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

• (703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]

• (703) 746-7239 [Official Communication]

• (703) 746-7240 [Non-Official Communication]

Application/Control Number: 09/662,990

Art Unit: 2185

and/or:

(703) 746-5668 (use this fax number, only after approval by Examiner, for

"INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202 Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Thuan N. Du June 17, 2003

> THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100